

4.3 CP Form

Change Proposal – BSCP40/02	CP No: 1437 <i>Version No: 1.0</i> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
Title (mandatory by originator) Recommended amendments to BSCP68	
Description of Problem/Issue (mandatory by originator) <p>Following the rejection of CP1423, it was recommended by the Imbalance Settlement Group (ISG) and the Supplier Volume Allocation Group (SVG), that the contents be separated into two changes and progressed separately. This Change Proposal (CP) seeks to address the more straight forwards elements, which were not contested under the CP1423 consultation.</p> <p>The areas identified for change are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are differences in procedure between BSCP68 Section 3.1.1.37 and BSCP515 ‘Licensed Distribution’ Section 3.7. Currently no BSCP takes priority over another, but where BSCP68 is followed, the advice given by BSCP515 can be ignored. A footnote needs adding in to BSCP68 to state that this is the case. • Two of the procedures in BSCP68 have steps which are repeated. This causes confusion, and so the unnecessary steps should be deleted. 	
Proposed Solution (mandatory by originator) <p>We propose to add a footnote to BSCP68 Section 3.1.1.37 and 3.1.2.42 stating that in the described situation, the data flow described in BSCP515 is not required.</p> <p>The repeated steps will be removed from the appropriate procedures 3.1.1 and 3.1.2. After removing these steps, the remaining steps will be renumbered accordingly, and any references will be amended to match.</p> <p>We have also taken this opportunity to correct other housekeeping errors in BSCP68. The full set of proposed changes can be found in Attachment A.</p> <p>As all changes proposed by this CP were consulted on as part of the CP1423 industry consultation, we recommend that this CP is progressed straight to decision.</p>	
Justification for Change (mandatory by originator) <p>The proposed changed will ensure that all BSCPs are consistent in the advice they give on various procedures. The overall effect of the CP will be to avoid confusion, and make the procedures described in this BSCP more efficient.</p> <p>The above changes were also recommended by the relevant Panel Committees.</p>	

<p>To which section of the Code does the CP relate, and does the CP facilitate the current provisions of the Code? (mandatory by originator)</p> <p>This CP relates to the provisions in Section K 'Classification and Registration of Metering Systems and BM Units'.</p>
<p>Estimated Implementation Costs (mandatory by BSCCo)</p> <p>The estimated implementation cost for this CP is £240 (one ELEXON man day) to implement the necessary document changes.</p>
<p>Configurable Items Affected by Proposed Solution(s) (mandatory by originator)</p> <p>BSCP68</p>
<p>Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code (mandatory by originator)</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Related Changes and/or Projects (mandatory by BSCCo)</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Requested Implementation Date (mandatory by originator)</p> <p>5 November 2015 as part of the November 2015 BSC Systems Release.</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>This is the next available release that this CP can be included in.</p>
<p>Version History (mandatory by BSCCo)</p> <p>Version 1.0 of CP1437 was raised by ELEXON on 16 March 2015.</p>
<p>Originator's Details:</p> <p>BCA Name.....Matthew Woolliscroft</p> <p>Organisation.....ELEXON</p> <p>Email Address.....bsc.change@elexon.co.uk</p> <p>Telephone Number.....020 7380 4165</p> <p>Date.....16 March 2015</p>

Attachments: **Y/N*** (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:)

CP1437_BSCP68 draft redlining_v0.1